

Minutes of the April 8, 2025 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Fujitsu: **Kenji Kazumura**

IBM: **Emily Jiang, Alasdair Nottingham, Jared Anderson**

Oracle: **Will Lyons**

Payara: **Steve Butler**

Tomitribe: **Cesar Hernandez**

Enterprise Member representative (Primeton): not present

Enterprise Member Representative (Microsoft): **Reza Rahman**

Participant member representative (LJC): **not present**

We have quorum.

Eclipse: **Tanja Obradovic, Ivar Grimstad**

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

The [Draft Minutes of the March 11, 2025 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting](#) were approved.

The [Draft Minutes of the March 25, 2025 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting](#) will be reviewed next time.

Welcome Ant Blockchain Technology (Shanghai) Co., Ltd to Jakarta EE Working Group

Email from Tanja on March 26:

I'd like to share the great news with You, and welcome our new participant member Ant Blockchain Technology (Shanghai) Co., Ltd to the Jakarta EE Working Group!

The [Jakarta EE members](#) page is already updated with the new addition and I would like to invite MuYing, Wang Liang, and XiJiu to further introduce themselves.

Please welcome Ant Blockchain Technology (Shanghai) Co., Ltd to Jakarta EE Working Group!

Jakarta EE 11/12 Update

Reference Information

- The following reflects the release plan of record
 - [Jakarta EE 11 Release Plan](#)
- Tracking [spreadsheet](#) of specifications progressing through the [JESP](#) version [lifecycle](#).
- Azure Boards board we are using for the work. The public access URL is <https://dev.azure.com/jakarta-ee-azdo/jakarta-ee-azdo> If you want more access, send Ed Burns an email with the email address to which he will send an invitation.

April 8 Update

- **Jakarta EE Web Profile 11 Ballot has been approved!**
 - A (repeated) thank you for all the work that has been invested in bringing this to approval over the past several months
- **Platform target date (TBD)**
 - Per last meeting, the team hopes to have a target date by end of April.
 - Evaluating the following schedule. TCK would like to be complete by end of April, and have the Platform Spec in ballot and approved by Q2 (June) .
- **Jakarta EE 11 Marketing**
 - A social card is available for promotion:
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/12NWqShYBj5SAmtWilHHxJTOnhGmmFNihXE3iAVZg7s/edit?tab=t.0#heading=h.g33lb0or7ci9>
 - Press Release and blogs will be published when (full) Platform is available.
 - See [Marketing Committee minutes](#) for details
- **Jakarta EE 12**
 - [Initial draft](#) for a Release Plan for Jakarta EE 12.
 - GitHub open [issues filtered by EE 12 label](#) in the Jakarta EE Platform project
 - New boards have been created for release plan tracking
 - <https://github.com/orgs/jakartae/teams/17/views/1>

- Committee requests that members please encourage your team to participate in the specification technical discussions
- Have established an April 15 date for bringing all Specification Update proposals to their specification mentors.

- **Ballot Updates**

- Plan review ballots for specifications proposed for EE 12 have started. Voting members should watch for ballot announcements on jakarta.ee-spec@eclipse.org and vote their positions in a timely manner
- Expecting there may be updates to a large number of specs.
- Plan Review Ballot Summary (as of Apr 7) - some forward progress:
 - Plan being Developed - 21 (was 22 two weeks ago)
 - Ready for Plan Review - 1 (was 2 two weeks ago)
 - Plan in Ballot - 2 (was 3 two weeks ago)
 - Ballot Completed - 5 passed (was 2 two weeks ago)
 - No (new) release planned - 1
- Could be two new projects (under discussion) - Jakarta Query, Jakarta HTTP
 - Jakarta Query project is in creation review. See the [proposal at this page](#).
([See this page for administrative details](#)).
 - A Specification Creation Review (cf [Specification Version Lifecycle](#)) would follow the creation of the project if all goes according to plan

- Have created a document for Jakarta HTTP to stimulate community discussion.

- **Ambassador's Guide to Contributing to Jakarta EE 12 is Live.**

- Note from Reza on April 2 - thanks Reza:

The Ambassadors' guide to contributing to Jakarta EE 12 is now live:

- <https://jakartaee-ambassadors.io/guide-to-contributing-to-jakarta-e-e-12/>

Please give it a read, consider contributing, and help spread word. Here is a convenient tweet:

- https://x.com/jee_ambassadors/status/1907447828902719871
- <https://x.com/jakartaee/status/1909235986757804032?s=46>

- Reza suggest individual members tweet/forward the news

IBM and Red Hat have proposed to “Host MicroProfile in Jakarta EE”

- The proposal is [here](#):
- See the [MicroProfile Steering Committee Meeting - March 18th, 2025](#) for the discussion of the proposal at this meeting

Additional update on the following:

- John and Emily working on verbiage for MPWG proposal for the MP community to vote on
 - Hoping for verbiage this week
 - Per last meeting, if MPWG approves the proposal via ballot, then a Jakarta EE resolution would need be drafted and reviewed and voted on at the Jakarta EE Steering Committee
- Depiction of Core Profile as subset of Web Profile per concern expressed by Reza
 - This has been a topic of discussion at Platform committee, hoping for a vote by end of week. See meeting minutes:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1DF0T2hbJqDNsY0v_IYFKCz-ZgHPbnMUIu6mhmt5LCJU/edit?tab=t.0#heading=h.aa0ereg6tnsc

Charter/Fee Update

- Tanja has revised the proposal per her email with timestamp 4:14 PM EDT on April 7. We will review.
- We will come back and vote on a proposal that reflects the following:
 - Resolved, that the Jakarta EE WG charter be updated with the Member Fees in Tanja Obradovic’s proposal of 4:14 PM EDT on April 7 with the following row deleted from the Strategic Member and Enterprise Member tables.

Annual Corporate Revenues less than \$1 million and < 10 employees, and Java User Groups

-

-----We did not have time to discuss the following items-----

Objectives

- Tanja sent a Q4 report on January 16. We have never reviewed as a group. I recommend we accept it as reported.
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1ee2-rlj7L5vsCV52FbO86OsQRsocs59F_Eaj37dfUGc/edit#slide=id.g1c9824dfc74_0_757
- Review Quarterly objectives for the CY2025 Program Plan
 - [Draft 2025 Program Plan by Quarter](#)
 - We have not fleshed out our objectives due to other discussion priorities
 - Although the other priorities are important, and we have made progress on a number of fronts, we need to remain focused on the above in order to make progress on the Program Plan we have agreed to
 - Request that Tanja walk us through the current document with Q1 updates and recommendations for updates to objectives in Q2+
 - For reference, here are meeting notes from the last time we discussed this topic
 - Marketing committee objective updates
 - On Grow Contributors and Reward Committers
 - Suggest an email, based on a similar mail sent by Tanja last January, from Steering Committee, Spec Committee, Marketing Committee and Platform team to jakartaee-spec-project-leads@eclipse.org, jakartaee-tck-developer-discussions@eclipse.org, [EE4J PMC Discussions @eclipse.org](mailto:ee4j-pmc@eclipse.org), jakartaee-implementation-leads-request@eclipse.org as follows:

“The Jakarta EE Working Group has a strategic goal to grow the number of contributors and committers to Jakarta EE projects. We would like to encourage you and your teams to add labels to GitHub issues for all of the projects under the EE4J top level project, including all Jakarta / Jakarta EE specifications. We have the following labels created which we hope will be helpful to new Jakarta EE contributors and committers:



Please propagate this suggestion to all specification / implementation / TCK teams and hopefully it will start to make a difference, among other initiatives that we are working on to involve new contributors and committers.”

- In Tanja’s mail from last year, she provided examples from the Eclipse Cargo Tracker project:

<https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/cargotracker/issues>

We could continue to use this example, but I believe more work has been done in this in other projects, including “non-specification work” in Marketing Committee. Can we get this or other examples to propagate.

- From last time, we said that after EE 11 delivery, Ed will encourage (not force) spec teams to tag items for contributions - does this mean it would be better to wait, or focus on “non-marketing” activities at this time?
- Would like to have a draft we can circulate. Comments welcome.
- Tanja will continue to investigate a query mechanism for reporting on this across projects.

Suspect time will not permit discussion of the following topics:

Jakarta EE Future Directions Interest Group

- See:
 - <https://projects.eclipse.org/interest-groups/jakarta-ee-future-directions>
 - <https://github.com/jakartaee/jakartaee-future-directions>
- Discussions have been active - Neil and/or Reza would you provide an update
 - Jakarta EE 12 goals drafted by Reza, and summary slide
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U2qEqF9K969t5b3YuX4cwex5LJPvF3bt1w27cdKNpDM/edit?tab=t.0>
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1xUNDHMP_qTHH1wA3m0yCmWVf_sHp41Qd7Opq3FhgINs/edit?usp=sharing
 - Reza has invited SC members to review the doc.
- February 11 discussion
 - From MicroProfile AI Minutes:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/14kSPRzEb0_BXrow1ej7Z6cus23uWypRNcoegimJaBA/edit?tab=t.0#heading=h.t16i4s85sj2p
 - Contribute the integration of langchain4j to langchain4j repo
 - Name the repo to be **langchain4j-microprofile-jakarta**

- Check with Eclipse Foundation to see whether it is okay to use the name of MicroProfile and Jakarta. Emily to check with Eclipse foundation
 - If there is no concerns, I will contact langchain4j community to have this repo created
- We talked about how microprofile only/specific integration or features should be added in a new project. The idea is to use a sub-directory/module for that and not have it in core/main so that jakarta projects can work without microprofile dependencies.
 - One of the issues may be microprofile config since it's used in core/main. Emily mentioned that config specification is being discussed in both communities.
- I believe the Steering Committee does not need to delve into the technical implementation details of this proposal, however I believe:
 - An endorsement (or not) of the general approach would be helpful
 - Any concerns (or not) with the approach, including the naming, should be raised